# 3. Finance EBR

## DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ENROLLED BILL PEPORT

**AMENDMENT DATE:** 

August 20, 2010

**BILL NUMBER: SB 918** 

RECOMMENDATION:

Veto

**AUTHOR:** F. Pavley

SPONSOR:

Planning & Conservation League, WateReuse

ASSEMBLY: SENATE:

54/21

25/12

## **BILL SUMMARY: Water Recycling**

This bill would require the Department of Public Health (DPH) to adopt, by December 31, 2013, uniform water recycling criteria for indirect potable water reuse for groundwater recharge and develop and to adopt, by December 31, 2016, uniform water recycling criteria for surface water augmentation. This bill also would require these criteria to be reviewed and approved by an expert panel of six members with specific technical expertise.

This bill would require the DPH to investigate the feasibility of developing uniform water recycling criteria for direct potable reuse, and to provide a final report on that investigation, including a consideration of six specific factors related to direct potable reuse of recycled water, to the Legislature by December 31, 2016.

This bill would allow the DPH to create an advisory group on the development of direct potable reuse criteria, including at least nine representatives of water agencies, local governments, environmental organizations, public health organizations, environmental justice organizations, and the business community.

This bill would require the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) to enter into an agreement with the DPH to assist in implementing the water recycling criteria provisions.

This bill would require that the DPH, in collaboration with the SWRCB, provide a written report to the Legislature each year from 2011 through 2016 (as part of the annual budget process) on the progress of developing and adopting uniform water recycling criteria. This reporting requirement would end January 1, 2017.

This bill would require that funds generated from penalty fees for violations of water quality requirements deposited in the Waste Discharge Permit Fund (WDPF) be made available, upon appropriation by the Legislature, to the SWRCB for purposes of assisting with the development and adoption of the water recycling criteria.

#### FISCAL SUMMARY

The DPH estimates costs of approximately \$500,000 per year to hire additional staff to cover the workload generated by the requirements of this bill. According to the DPH it would take six years for 1.0 Senior Sanitary Engineer to develop regulations for indirect potable reuse, based on previous experience with similar projects. In addition, the DPH would need 1.0 Staff Services Manager I (Specialist) in the Office of Regulations for four years to oversee the processing of the criteria as regulations.

For the development of the direct potable reuse legislative report, the DPH estimates it would take six years for 1.0 Senior Sanitary Engineer to investigate and write the report, as well as oversee the expert panel and advisory group. Additionally, the DPH would contract support to administer and facilitate the expert panel and advisory group. The DPH would also require 0.5 Sanitary Engineer working for four years to assist in the final preparation of the report.

Analyst/Principal	Date	Program Budget Manager	Date
(0523) C. Castaneda		Lisa Ann L. Mangat	i i
Carla Critaro	2 9/13/16	1	9/13/10
Department Director	. 1		Date
Thursell on	mellow (	South Lo	9/14/10
ENROLLED BILL REPOR	RT .		Form DF-43 (Rev 03/95 Pink)
HLTH:SB918-5400.doc 9/13/2	2010 6:29:00 PM	U = U	The second secon

BILL ANALYSIS/ENROLLED B AUTHOR	(2) REPORT(CONTINUED) AMENDMENT DATE	Form DF-43 BILL NUMBER		
F. Pavley	August 20, 2010	SB 918		

Although this bill would allow its provisions to be funded with the WDPF, this fund is not projected to have a sufficient balance for this purpose. As such, this bill's costs could require fee increases or General Fund support.

### **COMMENTS**

The Department of Finance recommends this bill be vetoed as it would likely result in additional costs to the General Fund as the appropriation from penalty fees in the WDPF may not be able to support the additional costs of this bill.

Penalty fees are intended to ameliorate or provide oversight of the problem for which the penalty was issued. This bill could limit the extent to which violations are corrected by directing revenue to water recycling criteria. If penalty revenue cannot fully fund the SWRCB and DPH activities required by this bill, the WDPF would be redirected for these purposes. The additional workload may result in a fee increase.

,	SO	(Fiscal Impact by Fiscal Year)						
Code/Department	LA	(Dollars in Thousands)						
Agency or Revenue	CO	PROP		•		,		Fund
Туре	RV	98	FC	2010-2011	FC	2011-2012 FC	2012-2013	Code
4265/PublicHealth	SO	No				0193		
4265/PublicHealth	SO	No	С	\$0	С	\$500 C	\$500	0001
3940/SWRCB	SO	No			See F	iscal Summary		0193

Fund Code Title

0001 General Fund

0193 Waste Discharge Permit Fund

#### SUGGESTED VETO MESSAGE

#### SB 918

(as amended June 1, 2010)

I am returning Senate Bill No. 918 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of Public Health (DPH) and the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) to enter into an agreement to develop and implement uniform water recycling criteria for indirect potable water reuse for groundwater recharge by December 31, 2013 and surface water augmentation by December 31, 2016.

While I support water recycling, fulfilling the requirements of this bill could result in costs to the General Fund if penalties do not provide sufficient revenues for this purpose.

For this reason, I am unable to sign this bill.